

# Observables of a test-mass along an inclined orbit in a post-Newtonian approximated Kerr spacetime to leading-order-quadratic-in-spin

Steven Hergt,<sup>1,\*</sup> Abhay Shah,<sup>2,†</sup> and Gerhard Schäfer<sup>1,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut,  
Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena,  
Max-Wien-Platz 1, 07743 Jena, Germany, EU*

<sup>2</sup>*Ben-Ziyo Center for Astrophysics,  
Weizmann Institute of Science,  
P.O. Box 26, Rehovot 76100 Israel*

(Dated: March 28, 2013)

The orbital motion is derived for a non-spinning test-mass in the relativistic, gravitational field of a rotationally deformed body not restricted to the equatorial plane or spherical orbit. The gravitational field of the central body is represented by the Kerr metric, expanded to second post-Newtonian order including the linear and quadratic spin terms. The orbital period, the periastron advance, and the precession of the orbital plane are derived with the aid of novel canonical variables and action-based methods.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 04.25.-g, 04.25.Nx, 04.70.Bw, 95.10.Eg, 98.35.Jk

Keywords: action-approach; test-mass motion in Kerr spacetime; post-Newtonian approximation; orbital elements

## I. INTRODUCTION

The motion of spinless particles in the gravitational field of a rotating body is an interesting and involved problem if the motion is not restricted to the equatorial plane of the central body. Ever since the discovery of quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) and horizontal-branch oscillations in X-ray sources such as neutron stars, micro-quasars or black holes, theorists have tried to explain the presence of these oscillations by identifying their frequencies with those of nodal precession frequency of ‘blobs’ in the accreting disks or Keplerian frequencies or periastron advance frequencies of the accreting rings. Since the mechanism that leads to these oscillations are associated with processes in the accretion disk, earlier calculations have been restricted to either close-to-equatorial plane or spherical or nearly circular orbits. Markovic in [5] studies the effect of equations-of-state of neutron stars on various orbital frequencies restricted to either equatorial plane or close to equatorial plane. Merloni *et al* in [19] calculate the Lense-Thirring precession frequency of test-masses restricted to spherical orbits (constant  $r$ ) and identifies them with the frequencies of QPOs from black hole sources. Sibgatullin in [4] calculates the nodal and periastron-rotation frequencies for nearly circular, non-equatorial orbits. Comparison of the observed and theoretical frequencies, once the relation between them confirmed, also act as a tool to test the general theory of relativity in strongly gravitating regions. Exact analytic solutions for arbitrarily oriented orbits are known in case of rotating black holes [1–3] but the Newtonian analog

or the post-Newtonian (pN) expansion of the results, say periastron shift, is not straightforward, see [4, 5]. Exact expression for the periastron advance of a test-mass in the gravitational field of a non-rotating black hole are well known, e.g. see [6–9]. Slow-motion or weak-field approximations (pN approximations) of highly analytical or involved calculations allows one to have a meaningful interpretation of the results. In the references e.g. [6], [10], and [11] the motion of spinning binary systems were studied analytically and periastron advances were calculated to higher pN orders. The role played by the Carter constant [12] in various problems of motion related with rotating black-holes is a quite interesting subject too, see [13, 14], where Carter-like constants were introduced. This procedure will also be necessary for our calculations, for which we use Hill-inspired canonical variables [15–17] being better suited for the problem in hand than the often applied Boyer-Lindquist coordinates [18].

We, for the first time, without restricting to any special case, calculate the orbital period, the intrinsic periastron advance, and the precession of the orbital plane of a test-mass in an inclined, generic orbit in a Kerr spacetime field approximated to second pN order for the monopole interaction, next-to-leading pN order linear in the spin parameter  $a$ , and the more important leading pN order quadratic in  $a^2$  between the spinless test-mass and its spinning massive companion. For a highly inclined orbit with high eccentricity one needs to carefully handle the influence of the Carter constant which is absent in the case of equatorial orbits. We approach this problem by the most transparent way possible, which is by starting from an invariant scalar action in canonical variables allowing for a very clear definition and understanding of the observables deriving from it. For our calculations we use SI units. Our perturbative method for making cal-

\* [steven.hergt@uni-jena.de](mailto:steven.hergt@uni-jena.de)

† [abhay@weizmann.ac.il](mailto:abhay@weizmann.ac.il)

‡ [gos@tpi.uni-jena.de](mailto:gos@tpi.uni-jena.de)

culations feasible is the post-Newtonian approximation technique, which expands field equations and equations of motion (EOMs) in inverse powers of  $c$ , the speed of light. Hence, if a term carries the power  $\frac{1}{c^n}$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  it is referred to as being of the  $\frac{n}{2}$ th-pN order or  $\frac{n}{2}$ pN in short where 0pN is the usual Newtonian order.

## II. THE UNDERLYING ACTION PRINCIPLE

The motion of the test-mass is governed by the binding energy,  $E_b$ , which is conserved, and defines a Hamiltonian for generating the equations of motion. The total energy  $E$  of the system is given by

$$E = mc^2 + E_b \quad (2.1)$$

with  $m$  being the rest-mass of the test-body. We calculate the binding energy to  $O(c^{-5})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_b = & \frac{p_r^2}{2m} + \frac{C^2}{2r^2m} - \frac{GMm}{r} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left[ G \left( -\frac{C^2M}{2r^3m} - \frac{3Mp_r^2}{2rm} \right) \right. \\ & - \frac{p_r^4}{8m^3} - \frac{C^4}{8r^4m^3} - \frac{C^2p_r^2}{4r^2m^3} - \frac{G^2M^2m}{2r^2} \left. \right] + \frac{1}{c^3} \frac{2\bar{a}G^2L_zM^2}{r^3} \\ & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left[ G \left( \frac{C^4M}{8r^5m^3} + \frac{3C^2Mp_r^2}{4r^3m^3} + \frac{5Mp_r^4}{8rm^3} \right) - \frac{G^3M^3m}{2r^3} \right. \\ & + G^2 \left( -\frac{C^2M^2}{4r^4m} + \frac{3M^2p_r^2}{4r^2m} \right) + \frac{C^6}{16r^6m^5} + \frac{3C^4p_r^2}{16r^4m^5} + \frac{p_r^6}{16m^5} \\ & \left. + \frac{3C^2p_r^4}{16r^2m^5} + \bar{a}^2G^2 \left( -\frac{L_z^2M^2}{2r^4m} + \frac{M^2p_r^2}{2r^2m} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^6}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

with the dimensionless spin parameter  $\bar{a} \frac{GM}{c^2} = a$  within in the range  $\bar{a} \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $L_z$  is the  $z$ -component of  $m$ 's angular momentum,  $C$  is the Carter-like constant,  $r$  and  $p_r$  being the radial coordinate and its canonical conjugate momentum respectively. The Carter-like constant,  $C$ , is defined as

$$C^2 := L^2 + \alpha^2 \bar{a}^2 \cos^2 \theta \quad \text{with} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 = & m^2 c^2 \left( 1 - \frac{E^2}{m^2 c^4} \right) \frac{r_S^2}{4} \\ = & -\frac{2E_b}{mc^2} \left( \frac{GMm}{c} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(E_b^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We only consider leading order terms in  $\bar{a}^2$ , therefore, we can drop the  $E_b^2$ -terms being of higher pN order or next-to-leading-order. Notice that  $\alpha^2$  is positive because  $E_b$  is negative for bound orbits. This Carter-like constant appears naturally as a separation constant when using the Hamilton-Jacobi approach to the pN-expanded Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates. We use a coordinate system that has canonical Hill-inspired variables  $(p_r, L, L_z; r, u, \Omega)$ , with  $u$  being the true anomaly, i.e., the angle between the position vector  $\mathbf{r} = r\mathbf{n}$  and the

direction of the ascending node  $\mathbf{N} = (\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{L}) / |\mathbf{e}_z \times \mathbf{L}|$ :  $\cos u = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{N}$  and  $\sin u = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{W}$  with  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{L} \times \mathbf{N} / L$ .  $\Omega$  is the angle of the ascending node as measured from the  $x$ -axis of the non-rotating orthonormal basis  $(\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y, \mathbf{e}_z)$ :  $\cos \Omega = \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{e}_x$  and  $\sin \Omega = \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{e}_y$ , measuring the precession of the orbital plane about  $L_z$  which is tilted from the equatorial plane with the inclination angle  $i$ , the angle between the background  $z$ -axis and the orbital angular momentum vector  $\mathbf{L}$ , so  $L_z = L \cos i$ . The standard canonical Poisson bracket relations are

$$\{r, p_r\} = \{u, L\} = \{\Omega, L_z\} = 1 \quad (2.5)$$

with all other brackets being zero. In contrast to the classic Hill variables  $(\dot{r}, G, H; r, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\Omega})$  with  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}$  ( $\mathbf{v}$  being the coordinate velocity vector),  $H = G_z$  and  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\Omega})$  being conjugate to  $\mathbf{G}$  and  $H$  respectively, we work with the momentum and its derived quantities  $p_r = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r} / r$ , and  $L = |\mathbf{L}|$  with  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ , i.e. our  $(u, \Omega)$  differs from  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\Omega})$ . They are equal in the Newtonian case (per unit mass), see [20] for variable relations between these different frames. Notice that our orbital plane is defined as being orthogonal to  $\mathbf{L}$  and not to  $\mathbf{G}$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  is often denoted by  $\mathbf{L}_N$  apart from a mass parameter. The corresponding action integral about a complete revolution from periastron to periastron reads

$$\begin{aligned} S = & S(E_b, L_z, C, P, \Phi, U) \\ = & -E_b P + L_z \Phi + \oint p_r dr + \oint L du. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It depends on the three constants of motion,  $E_b, L_z, C$ , and three orbital-completed variables

$$P = \oint dt, \quad \Phi = \oint d\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad U = \oint du. \quad (2.7)$$

$P$  being the orbital period,  $\Phi$  the precession of the orbital plane per revolution, and  $U$  the intrinsic periastron advance. The relation between the Hill-inspired variable  $u$  and the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate  $\theta$  [21] is

$$\sin u \sin i = \cos \theta. \quad (2.8)$$

Solving Eq(2.2) for  $p_r$  and Eq(2.3) for  $L$  allows the straightforward calculation of the action, Eq(2.6). Within the context of our leading order calculation,  $L$  in  $\cos i$  can be replaced by  $C$ . The next step is to calculate the remaining integrals in the action (2.6). We start with

$$\begin{aligned} \oint L du = & \oint \sqrt{C^2 - \alpha^2 \bar{a}^2 \sin^2 i \sin^2 u} du \\ = & \oint C du - \oint \frac{1}{2C} \bar{a}^2 \alpha^2 \sin^2 i \sin^2 u du + \mathcal{O}(\bar{a}^4) \\ = & CU - \frac{\pi}{2C} \bar{a}^2 \alpha^2 \left( 1 - \frac{L_z^2}{C^2} \right) + \text{NLO-}\bar{a}^2\text{-terms}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since the integrand is of leading-order in  $\bar{a}^2$  we integrate over a closed Newtonian orbit, i.e., we integrate from 0 to

$2\pi$  and drop all next-to-leading-order (NLO) corrections. The action, adapted to our problem, reads

$$S = -E_b P + L_z \Phi + \oint p_r dr + \mathcal{C} U - \frac{\pi}{2\mathcal{C}} \bar{a}^2 \alpha^2 \left(1 - \frac{L_z^2}{\mathcal{C}^2}\right). \quad (2.10)$$

The action principle tells us

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \mathcal{C}} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial L_z} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E_b} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

giving rise to formulas for the orbital elements,

$$U = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{C}} \oint p_r dr - \frac{\bar{a}^2 \pi \alpha^2 (E_b)}{2\mathcal{C}^2} \left(1 - \frac{3L_z^2}{\mathcal{C}^2}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\Phi = -\frac{\partial}{\partial L_z} \oint p_r dr - \bar{a}^2 \pi \alpha^2 (E_b) \frac{L_z}{\mathcal{C}^3}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$P = \frac{\partial}{\partial E_b} \oint p_r dr + \frac{\bar{a}^2 \pi G^2 M^2 m}{c^4 \mathcal{C}} \left(1 - \frac{L_z^2}{\mathcal{C}^2}\right). \quad (2.14)$$

The integral over  $p_r$  can be evaluated perturbatively in inverse powers of  $c$ , where  $p_r$  is evaluated by inverting Eq(2.2) to  $O(c^{-5})$ . To make the integration easy we choose the method of contour integration employed by Sommerfeld in [22],

$$\oint p_r dr = -2\pi i [\text{Res}_{r=0}(p_r) + \text{Res}_{r=\infty}(p_r)] \quad (2.15)$$

The result reads, also see [6, 23]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint p_r dr = & -\mathcal{C} + \frac{GMm}{\sqrt{-\frac{2E_b}{m}}} \\ & + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( \frac{3G^2 M^2 m^2}{\mathcal{C}} + \frac{15GMm}{4} \sqrt{\frac{-E_b}{2m}} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{c^3} \frac{2\bar{a}G^3 L_z M^3 m^3}{\mathcal{C}^3} \\ & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left[ \frac{15E_b G^2 M^2 m^4}{2\mathcal{C}} + \frac{35G^4 M^4 m^4}{4\mathcal{C}^3} + \frac{35E_b GM}{32} \sqrt{\frac{-E_b}{2m}} \right. \\ & + \bar{a}^2 \left( E_b G^2 \left( -\frac{M^2 m}{2\mathcal{C}} + \frac{L_z^2 M^2 m}{2\mathcal{C}^3} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{G^4 M^4 m^4}{4\mathcal{C}^3} + \frac{3G^4 L_z^2 M^2 m}{2\mathcal{C}^5} \right) \right] \\ & - \frac{\bar{a}}{c^5} \left( \frac{12E_b G^3 M^3 L_z m^2}{\mathcal{C}^3} + \frac{21G^5 M^5 L_z m^5}{\mathcal{C}^5} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

The two periastron shifts,  $\Delta \bar{U}$  and  $\Delta \bar{\Phi}$ , the intrinsic and the one related with the precession of the orbital plane,

respectively, are then giving by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \bar{U} = & \frac{1}{2\pi} (U - 2\pi) \\ = & \frac{3G^2 M^2 m^2}{c^2 \mathcal{C}^2} - \frac{6\bar{a}G^3 M^3 m^3}{c^3 \mathcal{C}^3} \cos i \\ & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left[ \frac{15E_b G^2 M^2 m}{2\mathcal{C}^2} + \frac{105G^4 M^4 m^4}{4\mathcal{C}^4} \right. \\ & \left. + \bar{a}^2 \frac{3G^4 M^4 m^4}{4\mathcal{C}^4} (5 \cos^2 i - 1) \right] \\ & - \frac{\bar{a}G^3 M^3 m^2}{c^5 \mathcal{C}^3} \left( 36E_b + 105 \frac{G^2 M^2 m^3}{\mathcal{C}^2} \right) \cos i \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \bar{\Phi} = & \frac{1}{2\pi} \Phi = \frac{2\bar{a}G^3 M^3 m^3}{c^3 \mathcal{C}^3} + \frac{\bar{a}^2}{c^4} \cos i \left( -\frac{3G^4 M^4 m^4}{2\mathcal{C}^4} \right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{a}}{c^5} \left( \frac{12E_b G^3 M^3 m^2}{\mathcal{C}^3} + \frac{21G^5 M^5 m^5}{\mathcal{C}^5} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

We also recover the usual pN result when the motion takes place in the equatorial plane. In this case,  $\mathcal{C} = L = L_z$  and our precession angle  $\Phi$  agrees to leading pN order with Eq. (43) in [5], where it is called the nodal precession rate. Furthermore, the leading-order linear-in- $\bar{a}$  terms of  $\Delta \bar{U}$  and  $\Delta \bar{\Phi}$  fully agree with the results in [6] for the case of an inclined orbital plane when referred to the test-mass limit. For the period we have

$$\begin{aligned} P = & \frac{2\pi GM}{(-2E_b/m)^{3/2}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{15}{4} \frac{E_b}{m} \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left( \frac{15}{2} \frac{GMm}{\mathcal{C}} \left( \frac{-2E_b}{m} \right)^{3/2} - \frac{105}{32} \frac{E_b^2}{m^2} \right) \\ & \left. - \frac{12\bar{a}G^2 M^2 m^2}{c^5 \mathcal{C}^2} \left( \frac{-2E_b}{m} \right)^{3/2} \cos i \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

In the case of equatorial motion the resulting shift,  $\Delta \tilde{\Phi}$ , (for this degenerate case) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{\Phi} = & \Delta \bar{U} + \Delta \bar{\Phi} = -1 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{C}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial L_z} \right) \oint p_r dr \\ = & \frac{3G^2 M^2 m^2}{c^2 \mathcal{C}^2} - \frac{4\bar{a}G^3 M^3 m^3}{c^3 \mathcal{C}^3} \\ & + \frac{1}{c^4} \left[ \frac{15E_b G^2 M^2 m}{2\mathcal{C}^2} + \frac{105G^4 M^4 m^4}{4\mathcal{C}^4} + \bar{a}^2 \frac{3G^4 M^4 m^4}{2\mathcal{C}^4} \right] \\ & + \frac{\bar{a}}{c^5} \left( -\frac{24E_b G^3 M^3 m^2}{\mathcal{C}^3} - \frac{84G^5 M^5 m^5}{\mathcal{C}^5} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

This quantity has been calculated by other methods [11] whose result coincides with ours, also see [5] to leading-pN-order. Notice that one can replace the Carter-like constant  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $L$  in the Eqs. (2.17)-(2.20) because the  $\bar{a}^2$ -correction in  $\mathcal{C}$  is lifting the pN order of the corresponding terms beyond our considerations.

### III. APPLICATION TO MEASURED DATA

As an application, we calculate the orbital elements of star S2 (treated as test-mass) orbiting the radio source Sagittarius A\*, an assumed super-massive black hole with the mass  $M = 4 \cdot 10^6 M_\odot$  [24] and a spin parameter  $\bar{a} = 0.44$  [25]. We take the data of S2 star from SIMBAD. The data are

Data label	Measured value
Gravitat. constant	$G = 6.67384(80) \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^2)$
Solar mass	$M_\odot = 1.98855(25) \cdot 10^{30} \text{ kg}$
Semimajor axis	980 AU
Astronomical Unit	$149.60 \cdot 10^9 \text{ km}$
Eccentricity	0.881
Inclination angle	$i = -48.1^\circ$
Speed of light	$c = 299792458 \text{ m/s}$

The analysis of the orbital elements is as follows. We first give the Newtonian value labelled with N, then the pN monopole corrections to it with the label 0, followed by the spin-orbit corrections (leading and, formally, next-to-leading order) labelled by 1 and finally the spin-squared corrections labelled by 2.

$P$ (S2)	$\Delta \bar{U}$ (S2)	$\Delta \bar{\Omega}$ (S2)
$P_N = 15.3484 \text{ yr}$	$\Delta \bar{U}_N = 0$	$\Delta \bar{\Omega}_N = 0$
$P_0 = 0.0012 \text{ yr}$	$\Delta \bar{U}_0 = 701.115''$	$\Delta \bar{\Omega}_0 = 0$
$P_1 = -2.5 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ yr}$	$\Delta \bar{U}_1 = -5.537''$	$\Delta \bar{\Omega}_1 = 2.761''$
$P_2 = 0 \text{ yr}$	$\Delta \bar{U}_2 = 0.008''$	$\Delta \bar{\Omega}_2 = -0.008''$

As one sees, the spin effects are negligible and within

the error bar for the period  $P = (15.56 \pm 0.35) \text{ yr}$ . Though we have used Hill-inspired variables to calculate the orbital elements only to some pN order, it will be interesting to see if these canonical variables would be advantageous to calculate the orbital elements non-perturbatively starting from a non-pN-approximated Kerr spacetime field as was done in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates by [26, 27]. At least in the case of equatorial motion, the orbital elements calculated in Hill-inspired variables should match the orbital frequencies given therein.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.H. thanks Eva Hackmann, Johannes Hartung, and Manuel Tessmer for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through SFB/TR7 “Gravitational Wave Astronomy”, GRK 1523 “Quantum and Gravitational Fields”, and European Research Council Starting Grant No. 202996.

- 
- [1] E. Hackmann, *Geodesic equations in black hole space-times with cosmological constant*. PhD thesis, Bremen, 2010.
  - [2] E. Hackmann *et al.*, “Analytical solution of the geodesic equation in kerr-(anti-) de sitter space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 044020.
  - [3] E. Hackmann and C. Lämmerzahl, “Observables for bound orbital motion in axially symmetric space-times,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 044049.
  - [4] N. Sibgatullin, “Nodal and Periastron Precession of Inclined Orbits in the Field of a Rotating Black Hole,” *Astron. Lett.* **27** (2001) 799–808.
  - [5] D. Markovic, “Bound near-equatorial orbits around neutron stars,” [arXiv:astro-ph/0009450](#).
  - [6] T. Damour and G. Schäfer, “Higher-order relativistic periastron advances and binary pulsars,” *Nuovo Cim. B* **101** (1988) 127–176.
  - [7] G. Schäfer and N. Wex, “Second post-Newtonian motion of compact binaries,” *Phys. Lett. A* **174** (1993) 196–205.
  - [8] G. Schäfer and N. Wex, “Erratum: Second post-Newtonian motion of compact binaries,” *Phys. Lett. A* **177** (1993) 461(E).
  - [9] G. Scharf, “Schwarzschild geodesics in terms of elliptic functions and the related red shift,” [arXiv:1101.1207 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
  - [10] C. Königsdörffer and A. Gopakumar, “Post-Newtonian accurate parametric solution to the dynamics of spinning compact binaries in eccentric orbits: The leading order spin-orbit interaction,” *Phys. Rev. D* **71** (2005) 024039, [arXiv:gr-qc/0501011](#).
  - [11] M. Tessmer, J. Hartung, and G. Schäfer, “Aligned spins: Orbital elements, decaying orbits, and last stable circular orbit to high post-Newtonian orders,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013) 015007, [arXiv:1207.6961 \[gr-qc\]](#).
  - [12] B. Carter, “Global structure of the kerr family of gravitational fields,” *Phys. Rev.* **174** (1968) 1559–1571.
  - [13] É. E. Flanagan and T. Hinderer, “Evolution of the Carter constant for inspirals into a black hole: Effect of

- the black hole quadrupole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007) 124007, [arXiv:0704.0389 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] C. M. Will, “Carter-like constants of the motion in newtonian gravity and electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 061101.
- [15] G. W. Hill, “Motion of a system of material points under the action of gravitation,” *Astronom. J.* **27** (1913) no. 646-647, 171–182.
- [16] I. G. Izsak, “A note on perturbation theory,” *Astronom. J.* **68** (1963) 559.
- [17] K. Aksnes, “On the Use of the Hill Variables in Artificial Satellite Theory,” *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **17** (1972) 70.
- [18] R. H. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, “Maximal Analytic Extension of the Kerr Metric,” *J. Math. Phys.* **8** (1967) 265–281.
- [19] A. Merloni *et al.*, “On gravitomagnetic precession around black holes,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **304** (1999) 155–159.
- [20] A. Gopakumar and G. Schäfer, “Gravitational wave phasing for spinning compact binaries in inspiraling eccentric orbits,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 124007.
- [21] M. Schneider and C. Cui, *Theoreme über Bewegungsintegrale und ihre Anwendung in Bahntheorien*, vol. 121 of *A Theoretische Geodäsie*. Verlag der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, München, Germany, 2005.
- [22] A. Sommerfeld, *Atombau und Spektrallinien*, vol. 1. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 7 ed., 1951.
- [23] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, “Dynamical invariants for general relativistic two-body systems at the third post-Newtonian approximation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 044024, [arXiv:gr-qc/9912092](#).
- [24] S. Gillessen *et al.*, “Monitoring stellar orbits around the massive black hole in the galactic center,” *ApJ* **692** (2009) 1075.
- [25] Y. Kato *et al.*, “Measuring spin of a supermassive black hole at the galactic centre implications for a unique spin,” *MNRAS Letters* **403** (2010) L74–L78.
- [26] W. Schmidt, “Celestial mechanics in kerr spacetime,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) 2743.
- [27] R. Fujita and W. Hikida, “Analytical solutions of bound timelike geodesic orbits in kerr spacetime,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 135002.